PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference WO76.0827/PR	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416	
International application No PCT/IB2004/004030	International filing date (day/month/year) 06.12.2004	Priority date (day/month/year) 04.12.2003	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC G06F1/00			
Applicant AXALTO SA et al.			
This report is the international prel Authority under Article 35 and tran	iminary examination report, established by t smitted to the applicant according to Article	his International Preliminary Examining 36.	
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	2. This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet.		
1	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:		
	the International Bureau) a total of sheets		
 sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions). 			
☐ sheets which supersed beyond the disclosure Supplemental Box.	e earlier sheets, but which this Authority con in the international application as filed, as in	nsiders contain an amendment that goes dicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the	
sequence listing and/or table	ureau only) a total of (indicate type and num es related thereto, in computer readable for Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrativ	m only, as indicated in the Supplemental	
This report contains indications rel	ating to the following items:		
Box No. I Basis of the opin	ion		
☐ Box No. II Priority			
☐ Box No. III Non-establishme	nt of opinion with regard to novelty, inventiv	e step and industrial applicability	
☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of it	nvention		
applicability; cita	nent under Article 35(2) with regard to novel tions and explanations supporting such state	lty, inventive step or industrial ement	
Box No. VI Certain documer			
	n the international application		
☐ Box No. VIII Certain observat	ions on the international application		
Date of submission of the demand	Date of completion of	this report	
04.10.2005	02.01.2006		
Name and mailing address of the international	Authorized Officer	"yes Pilea _{ts}	
preliminary examining authority: European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 52365 Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	Bichler, M Tetephone No. +49 89	2399-	

10/581843

'AP9 Rec'd PCT/PTO 05 JUN 2006

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/004030

_	Box No. I Basis of the report		
1.	 With regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. 		
	☐ This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:		
	☐ international search (und☐ publication of the international preliminary	der Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) tional application (under Rule 12.4) examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)	
2	With regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):		
	Description, Pages		
	1-10	as originally filed	
	Claims, Numbers		
	1-11	as originally filed	
Drawings, Sheets			
	1.6-6.6	as originally filed	
	☐ a sequence listing and/or an	y related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing	
3.	☐ The amendments have resu	lted in the cancellation of:	
	☐ the description, pages☐ the claims, Nos.		
	☐ the drawings, sheets/figs		
	☐ the sequence listing (spe☐ any table(s) related to se		
4	☐ This report has been establi had not been made, since they h Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c))	shed as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below lave been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the	
	☐ the description, pages☐ the claims, Nos.	•	
	the drawings, sheets/figs the sequence listing (spe	noiful:	
	any table(s) related to se		
	* If item 4 applies, so	me or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."	

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-11

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-11

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims No: Claims 1-11

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Re Item V

£1....

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- Reference is made to the following document:
 D1: EP-A-1 316 873 (HEWLETT PACKARD CO) 4 June 2003 (2003-06-04)
- 2. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of independent claims 1 and 6 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
 - 1. document D1 discloses the features of independent claim 1 as follows: Method to secure the execution of a program in an electronic assembly [column 1, lines 5-6, in particular "virus checking executable code"] including data processing means [figure 3, "processing device 302"] and data storage means [figure 3, "memory 304"], characterised in that it consists in checking the execution of each instruction of at least one portion of said program [column 25, lines 1-4, in particular "the signature check will be done only the first time the code is executed"] by performing during the execution of said portion a calculation using predetermined values, depending on or associated with each of said instructions and by comparing the result obtained with a precalculated value [column 25, lines 29-31, in particular "... to perform a signature comparison of code fragment with a set of known virus signatures"].
 - Independent claim 6 substantially corresponds to independent claim 1.
 The lack of novelty objection raised in point 2.1 herein above therefore equally applies to claim.
 - 3. Dependent claims 2-5 and 7-11 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step, see document D1 and the corresponding passages cited in the search report.